Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 1186 Filed 02/27/20 Page 1 of 2

MORRISON FOERSTER

425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94105-2482

TELEPHONE: 415.268.7000 FACSIMILE: 415.268.7522

WWW.MOFO.COM

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

BEIJING, BERLIN, BOSTON, BRUSSELS, DENVER, HONG KONG, LONDON, LOS ANGELES, NEW YORK, NORTHERN VIRGINIA, PALO ALTO, SAN DIEGO, SAN FRANCISCO, SHANGHAI SINGAPORE, TOKYO, WASHINGTON, D.C.

February 27, 2020

Writer's Direct Contact +1 (415) 268.6511 BLau@mofo.com

By ECF

Hon. Judge James Donato United States District Court 450 Golden Gate Avenue Courtroom 11, 19th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102-3489

In re Capacitors Antitrust Litigation, Nos. 17-MD-2801, 14-CV-3264 (N.D. Cal.)

Dear Judge Donato:

In light of the recent Holy Stone settlement and Defendants' further consideration of an appropriate verdict form while preparing for trial, Defendants write to alert the Court before today's Final Pretrial Conference that we sent a revised draft of our previously-proposed verdict form to the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs ("DPPs") on the afternoon of February 26. The revised verdict form is attached in a clean copy and with track changes to identify the revisions. Defendants deleted some questions and revised other questions.

As we explained to the DPPs, in thinking further about the verdict form, Defendants have come to agree with DPPs that each of the defendants DPPs claim participated in the alleged conspiracy—including Settling Defendants—needs to be identified in the verdict form, and so we have added each of them to the attached draft. DPPs listed each Defendant, including Settling Defendants, in their proposed verdict form. Importantly, however, Defendants continue to object to the manner in which DPPs identified the Settling Defendants in their proposed verdict form. DPPs include them in the body of their Question 1, which implies to the jury that they need not determine whether each of the alleged conspirators participated in the alleged conspiracy—and worse, it suggests to the jury that the Settling Defendants' alleged participation is presumed. Because DPPs are seeking damages that include Settling Defendants' sales under joint and several liability (and the Settling Defendants' sales comprise a very large portion of the damages DPPs seek), the jury is required as a matter of law to decide the participation of each alleged conspirator, including each Active Defendant and each Settling Defendant. The attached revised verdict form is intended to capture this necessary determination by the jury for each alleged conspirator.

Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 1186 Filed 02/27/20 Page 2 of 2

MORRISON | FOERSTER

February 27, 2020 Page Two

Sincerely,

Bonnie Lau

cc: All Counsel (by ECF)